# CS 1671/2071 Human Language Technologies

Session 6: N-gram language models, part 1

Michael Miller Yoder

January 29, 2025



School of Computing and Information

# Course logistics

- First quiz on Canvas due **tomorrow, Thu Jan 30** 
  - Looking over the reading is a great way to prepare
- <u>Project idea submission form</u> is due **tomorrow, Thu Jan 30** 
  - Check out the example projects on the <u>project website</u>
- I will release Homework 2 tomorrow or Friday

## Overview: N-gram language models, part 1

- Language modeling
- N-gram language models
- Estimating n-gram probabilities
- Perplexity and evaluating language models
- Coding activity: build your own n-gram language model!

#### Structure of this course

| MODULE 1 | Prerequisite skills for NLP  | text normalization, linear alg., prob., machine learning |   |  |
|----------|------------------------------|--|---|--|
|          | Approaches                   | How text is represented                                  | NLP tasks   |  |
| MODULE 2 | statistical machine learning | n-grams  | language modeling<br>text classification                      |  |
| MODULE 3 |                              |  |   |  |
| MODULE 4 |                              |  | language modeling<br>text classification<br>sequence labeling |  |

MODULE 5 NLP applications and ethics

#### machine translation, chatbots, information retrieval, bias

# Introduction to language models

#### Language Models Estimate the Probability of Sequences

Which of these sentences would you be more likely to observe in an English corpus?

- Hugged I big brother my.
- I hugged my large brother.
- I hugged my big brother.



Which of following word would be most likely to come after "David hates visiting New..."

- York
- California
- giggled

Slide credit: David

Mortensen





These are actually instances of the same problem: the language modeling problem! LMs (language models) are at the center of NLP today and have many different applications

- Machine Translation
   P(high winds tonight) > P(large winds tonight)
- Spelling Correction
   P(about fifteen minutes from) > P(about fifteen minuets from)
- Text Input Methods

P(i cant believe how hot you **are**) > P(i cant believe how hot you **art**)

• Speech Recognition

P(recognize speech) > P(wreck a nice beach)

Compute the probability of a sequence of words/tokens/characters:

 $P(\mathbf{W}) = P(W_1, W_2, W_3, W_5, \dots, W_n)$ 

P(I, hugged, my, big, brother)

This is related to next-word prediction:

 $P(W_t|W_1W_2\ldots W_{t-1})$ 

P(York|David, hates, going, to, New)

Do you compute either of these? Then you're in luck:

## You are a language model!

Slide credit: David Mortensen

# N-gram language models

#### The Chain Rule Helps Us Compute Joint Probabilities

#### The definition of conditional probability is

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(A)}$$



Figure 4.1: Events on the dart board

which can be rewritten as

P(A,B) = P(A)P(B|A)

If we add more variables, we see the following pattern:

$$P(A, B, C) = P(A)P(B|A)P(C|A, B)$$
  

$$P(A, B, C, D) = P(A)P(B|A)P(C|A, B)P(D|A, B, C)$$

which can be generalized as

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2)\dots P(x_n|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

#### The Chain Rule!

# The chain rule to compute the joint probability of words in a sentence

$$P(W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_n) = \prod_{i}^{n} P(W_i | W_1 W_2 \dots W_{i-1})$$

P(now is the winter of our discontent) =
 P(now) × P(is|now)×
P(the|now is) × P(winter|now is the)×
 P(of|now is the winter)×
 P(our|now is the winter of)×
P(discontent|now is the winter of our)



$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2) \dots P(x_n|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

$$P(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n) = \prod_i P(w_i | w_1, w_2 \dots, w_{i-1})$$

How to compute P(its, water, is, so, transparent)?

Could we just count and divide?

 $\frac{P(\text{discontent}|\text{now is the winter of our}) = \frac{Count(\text{now is the winter of our discontent})}{Count(\text{now is the winter of our})}$ 

But this can't be a valid estimate! "now is the winter of our" is going to very rare in corpora. It isn't going to be a good estimate of its true probability.

# Is *P*(discontent|now is the winter of our) really easier to compute than *P*(now is the winter of our discontent)?

How can the chain rule help us? We can **cheat.** 

#### Enter a Hero: Andrei Markov



|                        | Born       | 20 December 1978<br>(age 43)<br>Voskresensk  |
|------------------------|------------|--|
| Newton<br>Medical C    |            | Russian SFSR,<br>Soviet Union  |
| meulcai Ce             | Height     | 6 ft 0 in (183 cm)   |
| A COLOR OF A CALLER OF | Weight     | 203 lb (92 kg; 14 st<br>7 lb)  |
| 200                    | Position   | Defence  |
|                        | Played for | Khimik<br>Voskresensk<br>Dynamo Moscow<br>Montreal<br>Canadiens<br>Vityaz Chekhov<br>Ak Bars Kazan<br>Lokomotiv<br>Yaroslavl |

Playing career

1995-2020

#### Or, Rather, Andrey Markov



| Born             | 14 June 1856 N.S.<br>Ryazan, Russian<br>Empire                       |
|------------------|--|
| Died             | 20 July 1922 (aged<br>66) Petrograd,<br>Russian SFSR                 |
| Known for        | Markov chains;<br>Markov processes;<br>stochastic<br>processes       |
| Fields           | Mathematics,<br>specifically<br>probability theory<br>and statistics |
| Doctoral advisor | Pafnuty<br>Chebyshev <sup>Slide credit: D</sup>                      |

Interestingly, Markov's first application of his idea of **Markov Chains** was to language, specifically to modeling alliteration and rhyme in Russian poetry.

As such, he can be seen not only as a great mathematician and statistician, but also one of the forerunners of **computational linguistics** and **computational humanities**.



#### Markov Showed that You Could Make a Simplifying Assumption

One can approximate

#### *P*(discontent|now is the winter of our)

by computing

#### *P*(discontent|our)

or perhaps

*P*(discontent|of our)

- We only get an estimate this way, but we can obtain it by only counting simpler things: "our discontent", "discontent", "of our", etc
- N-gram language modeling is a generalization of this observation

# Markov Assumption



$$P(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \dots w_{n}) = \prod_{i} P(w_{i} | w_{1}, w_{2} \dots w_{i-1})$$
  
Simplify  
$$P(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \dots w_{n}) = \prod_{i} P(w_{i} | w_{i-k} \dots w_{i-1})$$

Slide credit: Lorraine Li

## This assumption is the Markov assumption

$$P(W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n) \approx \prod_i P(W_i | W_{i-k} W_{i-1})$$

In other words, we approximate each component in the product:

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{W}_i|\mathsf{W}_1,\mathsf{W}_2,\ldots,\mathsf{W}_{i-1})\approx\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{W}_i|\mathsf{W}_{i-k}\ldots\mathsf{W}_{i-1})$$

We will now walk through what this looks like for different values of k.

 $P(W_1W_2...W_i) \approx \prod P(W_i)$ 

The probability of a sequence is approximately the product of the probabilities of the individual words.

Some automatically generated sequences from a unigram model:

- fifth, an, of, futures, the, an, incorporated, a, a, the, inflation, most, dollars, quarter, in, is, mass
- thrift, did, eighty, said, hard, 'm, july, bullish
- that, or, limited, the

What do you notice about them?

If you condition on the previous word, you get the following:

$$P(W_i|W_1W_2\ldots W_{i-1}) \approx P(W_i|W_{i-1})$$

Some examples generated by a bigram model:

- texaco, rose, one, in, this, issue, is, pursuing, growth, in, a, boiler, house, said, mr., gurria, mexico, 's, motion, control, proposal, without, permission, from, five, hundred, fifty, five, yen
- outside, new, car, parking, lot, of, the, agreement, reached
- this, would, be, a, record, november

Are these better?

The trigram model is just like the bigram model, only with a larger k:

$$P(W_i|W_1W_2\ldots W_{i-1}) \approx P(W_i|W_{i-2}W_{i-1})$$

The output of a trigram language model is generally **much** better than that of a bigram model **provided the training corpus is large enough**. Why do you need a larger corpus to train a trigram corpus than a bigram or unigram corpus?

#### N-gram models have trouble with long-range dependencies

In general, n-gram models are very impoverished models of language. For example, language has relationships that span many words:

- The **students** who worked on the assignment for three hours straight **\*is/are** finally resting.
- The teacher who might have suddenly and abruptly met students is/\*are tall.
- Violins are easy to mistakenly think you can learn to play **\*them/quickly**.

# Nevertheless, for many applications, ngram models are good enough (and they're super fast and efficient)

## Estimating n-gram probabilities

Estimating bigram probabilities with the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)

MLE for bigram probabilities can be computed as:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

which we will sometimes represent as

$$P(W_i|W_{i-1}) = \frac{C(W_{i-1}, W_i)}{C(W_{i-1})}$$

An example

$$P(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c(w_{i-1})} \quad \stackrel{ ~~I am Sam~~ }{ ~~Sam I am~~ } \quad  ~~I do not like green eggs and ham~~$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} P({\tt I} \mid < {\tt s} >) = & P({\tt Sam} \mid < {\tt s} >) = & P({\tt am} \mid {\tt I}) = \\ P( \mid {\tt Sam}) = & P({\tt Sam} \mid {\tt am}) = & P({\tt do} \mid {\tt I}) = \end{array}$$

- can you tell me about any good cantonese restaurants close by
- mid priced thai food is what i'm looking for
- tell me about chez panisse
- can you give me a listing of the kinds of food that are available
- i'm looking for a good place to eat breakfast
- when is caffe venezia open during the day

#### Out of 9222 sentences

|         | i  | want | to  | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
|---------|----|------|-----|-----|---------|------|-------|-------|
| i       | 5  | 827  | 0   | 9   | 0       | 0    | 0     | 2     |
| want    | 2  | 0    | 608 | 1   | 6       | 6    | 5     | 1     |
| to      | 2  | 0    | 4   | 686 | 2       | 0    | 6     | 211   |
| eat     | 0  | 0    | 2   | 0   | 16      | 2    | 42    | 0     |
| chinese | 1  | 0    | 0   | 0   | 0       | 82   | 1     | 0     |
| food    | 15 | 0    | 15  | 0   | 1       | 4    | 0     | 0     |
| lunch   | 2  | 0    | 0   | 0   | 0       | 1    | 0     | 0     |
| spend   | 1  | 0    | 1   | 0   | 0       | 0    | 0     | 0     |

# Raw bigram probabilities

#### Normalize by unigrams:

|          | want    | to   | eat | chinese | food | lunch | spend |
|----------|---------|------|-----|---------|------|-------|-------|
| 2533 927 | 533 927 | 2417 | 746 | 158     | 1093 | 341   | 278   |

#### Result:

|         | i       | want | to     | eat    | chinese | food   | lunch  | spend   |
|---------|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| i       | 0.002   | 0.33 | 0      | 0.0036 | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0.00079 |
| want    | 0.0022  | 0    | 0.66   | 0.0011 | 0.0065  | 0.0065 | 0.0054 | 0.0011  |
| to      | 0.00083 | 0    | 0.0017 | 0.28   | 0.00083 | 0      | 0.0025 | 0.087   |
| eat     | 0       | 0    | 0.0027 | 0      | 0.021   | 0.0027 | 0.056  | 0       |
| chinese | 0.0063  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0.52   | 0.0063 | 0       |
| food    | 0.014   | 0    | 0.014  | 0      | 0.00092 | 0.0037 | 0      | 0       |
| lunch   | 0.0059  | 0    | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0.0029 | 0      | 0       |
| spend   | 0.0036  | 0    | 0.0036 | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       |

Slide adapted from Jurafsky & Martin

# Bigram estimates of sentence probabilities

# P(<s> I want english food </s>) = P(I|<s>)

- × P(want|I)
- × P(english|want)
- × P(food|english)
- × P(</s>|food)
- = .000031

Doing computation in log space is preferred for language models

- Avoid underflow Multiplying small probabilities by small probabilities results in *very small* numbers, which is problematic
- Optimize computation Addition is cheaper than multiplication

 $\log(p_1 \times p_2 \times p_3 \times p_4) = \log p_1 + \log p_2 + \log p_3 + \log p_4$ 

#### The are high-performance toolkits for n-gram language modeling

- SRILM http://www.speech.sri.com/projects/srilm/
- KenLM https://kheafield.com/code/kenlm/

#### Perplexity and evaluating language models

#### The goal of LM evaluation:

- Does our model prefer good sentences to bad sentences?
- Specifically, does it assign higher probabilities to the good/grammatical/frequently observed ones and lower probabilities to the bad/ungrammatical/seldom observed ones?

In ML evaluation, we divide our data into three sets: train, dev, and test.

- We train the model's parameters on the **train** set
- We tune the model's hyperparameters (if appropriate) on the dev set (which should not overlap with the train set
- We test the model on the test set, which should not overlap with train or dev

An **evaluation metric** tells us how well our model has done on **test**.

#### We Can Evaluate Models Intrinsically or Extrinsically

- Extrinsic Evaluation means asking how much the model contributes to a larger task or goal. We may evaluate an LM based on how much it improves machine translation over a BASELINE.
- Intrinsic Evaluation means measuring some property of the model directly. We may quantify the probability that an LM assigns to a corpus of text.

In general, EXTRINSIC EVALUATION is better, but more expensive and time-consuming.

#### Best evaluation for comparing models A and B

- Put each model in a task (spelling corrector, speech recognizer, MT system)
- Run the task, get an accuracy for A and for B
  - How many misspelled words corrected properly?
  - How many sentences translated correctly?
- Compare scores for A and B

This takes a lot of time to set up and can be expensive to carry out.

# Perplexity is an intrinsic metric for language modeling

Perplexity evaluates the probability assigned by a model **to a collection of test documents, controlling for length** and is, thus, useful for evaluating LMs.

A better model of a text is one which assigns a higher probability to words that actually occur in the test set. This will result in **lower** perplexity.

However:

- It is a rather crude instrument
- $\cdot$  It sometimes correlates only weakly with performance on downstream tasks
- $\cdot$  It's only useful for pilot experiments
- $\cdot$  But it's cheap and easy to compute, so it's important to understand



#### Deriving Perplexity for Bigrams

P

$$P(\mathbf{w}) = P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$
 Definition  

$$= \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n)}}$$

$$= \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i|w_1 w_2 \dots w_{i-1})}}$$
 Chain Rule  

$$= \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i)}}$$
For Unigrams  

$$= \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{P(w_i|w_{i-1})}}$$
For Bigrams

To minimize perplexity is to maximize probability!

Slide credit: David Mortensen 43

# In general, a lower perplexity implies a better model.

# Training 38 million words, test 1.5 million words, WSJ

| N-gram<br>Order | Unigram | Bigram | Trigram |
|-----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Perplexity      | 962     | 170    | 109     |

# Coding activity: build your own n-gram LM

# N-gram language model on JupyterHub

- <u>Click on this nbgitpuller link</u>
- Or find the link on the course website
- Open
   session6\_ngram\_lm.ipynb

#### CS 1671/2071 Human Language Technologies

School of Computing and Information, University of Pittsburgh Spring 2025



Photo by Frits de Jong, art by Tjesje

| Time                    | MW 1-2:15pm  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Location                | IS 405   |
| Instructor              | Michael Miller Yoder, PhD. Please call me "Michael"                                |
| Instructor contact      | mmyoder@pitt.edu or through Canvas messages  |
| Instructor office hours | By appointment in person at IS 604B or on Zoom                                     |
|                         | Book an appointment  |
| ТА                      | Norah Almousa  |
| TA contact              | nia135@pitt.edu  |
| TA office houx          | By appointment   |
| Textbook (free online)  | [J+M] Jurafsky and Martin, Speech and Language Processing, 3e draft, 2024-08-20    |
| Class notebooks         | CRCD JupyterHub nbgitpuller link Pitt login required, public link to source here). |

# Questions?