

CS 2731 Introduction to Natural Language Processing

Session 11: N-gram language models, part 2

Michael Miller Yoder

October 4, 2023



School of Computing and Information

Course logistics

• Homework 2 is due this Thu 10-05, 11:59pm

- There is a Canvas discussion forum for asking questions (feel free to offer answers, too)
- We will run hw2_{your pitt email id}_test.py test_data.csv (held-out test set)
 - This script should run both your logistic regression and neural network classifiers and print accuracy on the test dataset passed to it
 - This script can either load your trained model (which also needs to be submitted) or train in a reasonable amount of time with the politeness_data.csv assumed to be in the current working directory
 - Word embedding files are often very big, so if it's >400 MB just point give us a URL, name, and version to download

Course logistics

- Projects
 - If you haven't yet met with Pantho or me about your project, please do!
 - Come to our office hours
 - Wed 1:30-2:30pm with Michael in Sennott Square 6505
 - Thu 2:45-3:45pm with Pantho in Sennott Square 5106
 - Proposal and literature review is **due Thu 10-12, 11:59pm**
 - Instructions are on the project webpage
 - Look for NLP papers in <u>ACL Anthology</u>, <u>Semantic Scholar</u>, and <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>

Lecture overview: N-gram language models, part 2

- Sampling sentences from language models
- The problem of zeros
- Laplace smoothing
- Interpolation and backoff
- Neural language models
- RNN language modeling

Sampling sentences from language models

The Shannon Visualization Method

- Now choose a random bigram (w, x) according to its probability
- And so on until we choose </s>
- Then string the words together

```
<s> 1
    I want
    want to
    to eat
    eat Chinese
    Chinese food
    food </s>
I want to eat Chinese food
```

Approximating Shakespeare

1 gram	 -To him swallowed confess hear both. Which. Of save on trail for are ay device and rote life have -Hill he late speaks; or! a more to leg less first you enter
2 gram	 –Why dost stand forth thy canopy, forsooth; he is this palpable hit the King Henry. Live king. Follow. –What means, sir. I confess she? then all sorts, he is trim, captain.
3 gram	 -Fly, and will rid me these news of price. Therefore the sadness of parting, as they say, 'tis done. -This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.
4 gram	 King Henry. What! I will go seek the traitor Gloucester. Exeunt some of the watch. A great banquet serv'd in; It cannot be but so.

The Wall Street Journal is not Shakespeare (no offense)

Months the my and issue of year foreign new exchange's september were recession exchange new endorsed a acquire to six executives



gram

Last December through the way to preserve the Hudson corporation N.
 B. E. C. Taylor would seem to complete the major central planners one point five percent of U. S. E. has already old M. X. corporation of living on information such as more frequently fishing to keep her

3 gram They also point to ninety nine point six billion dollars from two hundred four oh six three percent of the rates of interest stores as Mexico and Brazil on market conditions



Can you guess the training set author of the LM that generated these random 3-gram sentences?

- They also point to ninety nine point six billion dollars from two hundred four oh six three percent of the rates of interest stores as Mexico and gram Brazil on market conditions
- This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.
- "You are uniformly charming!" cried he, with a smile of associating and now and then I bowed and they perceived a chaise and four to wish for.

The problem of zeros

N-grams only work well for word prediction if the test corpus looks like the training corpus

- In real life, it often doesn't
- We need to train robust models that generalize!
 - One kind of generalization: Zeros!
 - Things that don't ever occur in the training set but occur in the test set

N-grams in the test set that weren't in the training set

Suppose our bigram LM, trained on Twitter, reads a document by the philosopher Wittgenstein:

Whereof one cannot speak, thereof one must be silent.

This contains the bigrams: whereof one, one cannot, cannot speak, speak [comma], [comma] thereof, thereof one, one must, must be, be silent.

Suppose "whereof one" never occurs in the training corpus (train) but whereof occurs 20 times. According to MLE, it's probability is

$$P(\text{one}|\text{whereof}) = \frac{c(\text{whereof}, \text{one})}{c(\text{whereof})} = \frac{0}{20} = 0$$
(19)

The probability of the sentence is the **product** of the probabilities of the bigrams. What happens if one of the probabilities is zero? Compare, again, to Naïve Bayes.

Two kinds of "zeros"

- 1. Completely unseen words in the test set
- 2. Words in unseen contexts in the test set

Unknown Words

If we know all the words in advanced

- Vocabulary V is fixed
- Closed vocabulary task

Often we don't know this

- Out Of Vocabulary = OOV words
- Open vocabulary task

Instead: create an unknown word token <UNK>

- Training of <UNK> probabilities
- Create a fixed lexicon L of size V
- At text normalization phase, any training word not in L changed to <UNK>
- Now we train its probabilities like a normal word
- At decoding time
- If text input: Use UNK probabilities for any word not in training

Laplace smoothing

The intuition of smoothing

When we have sparse statistics: P(w | denied the) 3 allegations 2 reports 1 claims 1 request 7 total



Steal probability mass to generalize better

P(w | denied the) 2.5 allegations 1.5 reports 0.5 claims 0.5 request 2 other Slide adapted from Jurafsky & Martin, Dan Klein P(w | denied the) 2.5 allegations 1.5 reports 0.5 claims 7 total



This should already be familiar from Naive Bayes

MLE estimate
$$P_{MLE}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{c(w_{i-1})}$$

Add-1 estimate $P_{Add-1}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i) + 1}{c(w_{i-1}) + |V|}$

Where V is the vocabulary of the corpus.

It shifts too much probability mass away from attested ngrams and onto unattested ngrams, so it isn't used much for ngram LMs any more (there are better methods).

But remember that is does work:

- For text classification
- In domains where the number of zeros isn't as large as with ngrams

Interpolation and backoff

Suppose you have a context you don't know much about (because you have seen few or no relevant ngrams). You can condition your probabilities for these contexts on shorter contexts you know more about.

Backoff Use trigram if you have good evidence, otherwise bigram, otherwise unigram.

Interpolation Mix unigrams, bigrams, and trigrams together in one (weighted) probability soup.

Interpolation works better; backoff is sometimes cheaper.

Linear interpolation takes into account different n-grams

The simplest way to do this is to **not** take context into account. The lambdas, in the following formula, are weighting factors:

$$\hat{P}(w_n|w_{n-2}w_{n-1}) = \lambda_1 P(w_n|w_{n-2}w_{n-1}) + \lambda_2 P(w_n|w_{n-1}) + \lambda_3 P(w_n)$$

where

$$\forall i \; \lambda_i \geq 0 \land \sum_i^n \lambda_i = 1$$

That is, the lambdas must sum to one.

Slide credit: David Mortensen 22

Lambdas Are Tuned Using a Held-Out dev Set



Choose λ s to maximize the probability of held-out data (dev):

- Fix the ngram probabilities (on train)
- Then search for λ s that give the largest probability to **dev**:

How to deal with, e.g., Google N-gram corpus Pruning

- Only store N-grams with count > threshold.
- Remove singletons of higher-order n-grams
- Entropy-based pruning

Efficiency

- Efficient data structures like tries
- Bloom filters: approximate language models
- Store words as indexes, not strings
- Use Huffman coding to fit large numbers of words into two bytes
- Quantize probabilities (4-8 bits instead of 8-byte float)

No discounting, just use relative frequencies

$$S(w_{i}|w_{i-k+1}^{i-1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{c(w_{i-k+1}^{i})}{c(w_{i-k+1}^{i-1})} & \text{if } c(w_{i-k+1}^{i}) > 0\\ 0.4S(w_{i}|w_{i-k+2}^{i-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$S(w_{i}) = \frac{c(w_{i})}{N}$$

Neural language models

Why Neural LMs work better than N-gram LMs

Training data:

- We've seen: I have to make sure that the cat gets fed.
- Never seen: dog gets fed
- Test data:
- I forgot to make sure that the dog gets ____
- N-gram LM can't predict "fed"!

Neural LM can use similarity of "cat" and "dog" embeddings to generalize and predict "fed" after dog

Language modeling with recurrent neural networks (RNNs)

Reminder: training a 2-layer network



If you train a neural language model, you get two things:

- 1. An algorithm that will allow you to predict the next word in a sequence
- 2. A set of embeddings **E** that can be used to represent words in other tasks (assuming that you did not freeze the embedding layer)

FFNNs take an input of fixed dimensions—a fixed number of features, a fixed number of tokens

The number tokens in a text—even a sentence—can be **arbitrarily large** (or short)

RNNs help us address this issue

The architecture of an RNN

- Special kind of multilayer neural network for modeling sequences
- Hidden layers between the input and output receive input not just form the input layer, **but also from the hidden layer at a preceding timestep**
- RNNs can "remember" information from earlier on



